IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

TIMOTHY BOZUNG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 1:22-cy-00304-HYJ-RSK

Plaintiff,

Honorable Hala Y. Jarbou

v.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CHRISTIANBOOK, LLC f/k/a CHRISTIAN BOOK DISTRIBUTORS CATALOG, LLC,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SEAL CERTAIN PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED REPLY BRIEF AND THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO (IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 59(e) & FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b) AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 15(a))

Plaintiff submits this Motion to Seal in connection with the contemporaneous filing of Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File a Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Relief From Judgment Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) & Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) and for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). Plaintiff's aforementioned motion includes a proposed Reply as an exhibit—and the Reply includes exhibits as well. The proposed Reply and accompanying exhibits include discussion and material produced in discovery pursuant to the Confidentiality Agreement and Stipulated Protective Order, ECF No. 35, (and marked "Confidential") by Third-Parties (the "Confidential Documents"). Plaintiff opposes the permanent sealing of the references regarding the Confidential Documents, but files the present Motion to Seal to temporarily file under seal references to these Documents to comply with LCivR 10.6(b) and Paragraph 8 of the Protective Order. See ECF No. 35, PageID.1331.

The Sixth Circuit in *Shane Grp., Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Mich.*, 825 F.3d 305 (6th Cir. 2016) and another District Court in Michigan in *In re FCA US LLC Monostable Electronic Gearshift Litig.*, 377 F. Supp. 3d 779 (E.D. Mich. 2019), have explained that there is a "strong presumption in favor of openness as to court records" and that "the burden of overcoming that presumption is a heavy one." *Shane*, 825 F.3d at 305. As a result, and after reviewing the Confidential Documents, Plaintiff does not believe that references to these documents satisfy the requirements

for sealing in this District. However, as stated, Plaintiff files this Motion to comply with the Local Rules and the Protective Order, and to allow Defendant to set forth its basis for permanently sealing the Confidential Documents. As a result, Plaintiff requests that the Confidential Documents remain under seal for at least 14 days from the date of this Motion until Defendant has responded to the Motion.

Per LCivR 7.1(d), on May 3, 2023, counsel for Plaintiff contacted Defendant's counsel regarding the sealing of any discussion of the Documents, and, on May 4, 2023, Defendant was provided with the documents to be filed under seal and the type of redactions otherwise to be made. Counsel for Defendant communicated that Defendant does not oppose this Motion to Seal.

Dated: May 4, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

> /s/ E. Powell Miller E. Powell Miller (P39487) THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C. 950 W. University Drive, Suite 300 Rochester, MI 48307 Tel: 248-841-2200 epm@millerlawpc.com

Joseph I. Marchese Philip L. Fraietta (P85228) **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.** 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 Tel: 646.837.7150 imarchese@bursor.com pfraietta@bursor.com

Frank S. Hedin Arun G. Ravindran HEDIN HALL LLP 1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1140 Miami, Florida 33131 Tel: 305.357.2107 fhedin@hedinhall.com aravindran@hedinhall.com

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 4, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing documents using the Court's electronic filing system, which will notify all counsel of record authorized to receive such filings.

/s/ E. Powell Miller

E. Powell Miller (P39487)

THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.

950 W. University Dr., Ste. 300

Rochester, MI 48307 Tel: (248) 841-2200

epm@millerlawpc.com